

EXHIBIT J

CHAITMAN LLP

Helen Davis Chaitman

hchaitman@chaitmanllp.com

465 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10022

Phone & Fax: 888-759-1114

*Attorneys for Defendant Patsy DiGiulian, as
Executrix of the Estate of Bruno L. DiGiulian*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

No. 08-1789 (SMB)

SIPA LIQUIDATION

(Substantively Consolidated)

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Liquidation of
Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC

Plaintiff,

v.

BRUNO L. DIGIULIAN,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 10-04728 (SMB)

**AMENDED RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS OF BRUNO L. DIGIULIAN TO
TRUSTEE'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES**

Patsy R. DiGiulian, as Executrix of the Estate of Bruno L. DiGiulian ("Responding Party"), by and through her attorneys, and pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rules 7026 and 7033 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure, and the Local Rules of this Court, hereby responds and objects to the First Set of Interrogatories (the "Requests") of trustee Irving H. Picard (the "Trustee") as follows:

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

1. Responding Party objects to each instruction, definition, and Request to the extent that it purports to impose any requirement or discovery obligation greater than or different from those under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the applicable Rules and Orders of the Court.

2. Responding Party objects to each instruction, definition, and Request to the extent it is vague, ambiguous, overly broad and unduly burdensome, or requests the production of documents that are not relevant to any parties' claims or defenses.

3. Responding Party objects to the Requests to the extent they request disclosure of (i) legal theories, legal opinions, mental impressions or other information of Responding Parties' counsel, (ii) any information protected by any privilege, including, without limitation, the attorney-client privilege or the privilege afforded to settlements or settlement negotiations, or (iii) any other available and valid grounds for withholding information or documents from production, including, without limitation, the attorney work product doctrine. Nothing contained in these responses should be interpreted as a waiver of any such privilege or doctrine.

4. Responding Party objects to the Requests to the extent they require production of documents not within the possession, custody, or control of the Responding Party.

5. Responding Party objects to the Requests to the extent they call for legal

conclusions.

6. Responding Party objects to the Requests to the extent they seek disclosure of proprietary and/or confidential information.

7. Responding Party objects to each definition, instruction, and Request as overbroad and unduly burdensome to the extent it seeks documents that are readily or more accessible to the Propounding Party from its own files or documents, which the Propounding Party previously produced to the Responding Party.

8. Responding Party reserves all objections as to the competence, relevance, materiality, admissibility, or privileged status of any information provided in response to these Requests, unless the Responding Party specifically states otherwise.

9. Responding Party's responses to the Requests are based upon information presently available. Responding Party has not completed discovery. Accordingly, these responses are made without prejudice to Responding Party's right to present additional evidence based upon information subsequently obtained or evaluated.

10. Responding Party expressly reserves the right to supplement, clarify, revise, or correct any responses and objections herein, and to assert additional objections or privileges, in one or more subsequent supplemental response(s).

11. Responding Party's response to each of the Requests is made subject to these General Objections and limitations. Responding Party sets them out here to avoid repeating them in response to each individual Request. Responding Party's failure to specifically set forth an objection in response to a particular Request shall not be construed as a waiver of said objection.

12. Responding Party objects to the Requests to the extent that they seek disclosure of information or production of documents which are outside of the "Applicable Period" or for a time period that has no relevance to the issues in the complaint and answer.

RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS

1. Identify the reasons for each Transfer.

ANSWER: Responding Party is unable to do so because this was not her account and she did not handle it. She does know, however, that withdrawals were taken to pay applicable taxes on the reported short term capital gains in the Account and for the living expenses of Bruno DiGiulian.

2. Identify the reasons for each Subsequent Transfer.

ANSWER: Responding Party refuses to answer this interrogatory because it is improper and it is unethical for the Trustee to be seeking information concerning subsequent transfers.

3. Identify each deposit into the Account.

ANSWER: Responding Party is unable to do so. To the extent that the Trustee has reliable third party documents proving a deposit (such as Madoff's bank records), Responding Party will acknowledge that deposit. However, as the Trustee has admitted, Madoff's records are riddled with fraud and, therefore, they cannot be relied upon to prove any deposits. Moreover, the Trustee has admitted he has no bank records prior to December 1998. Hence, he has no competent evidence of any activity in the account prior to December 1998.

4. Identify each Person with knowledge of any Transfer, the circumstances under which each Person obtained such knowledge, and the substance of that Person's knowledge.

ANSWER: Annette Bongiorno; Bernard L. Madoff and possibly other Madoff employees. To the extent this interrogatory seeks information about subsequent transferees, the interrogatory is improper and it is unethical for the Trustee to be seeking this information necessary to frame a complaint against subsequent transferees.

5. Identify: (a) each Person or entity that ever received funds withdrawn from the Account, whether directly or indirectly; (b) the amount of money that each such Person or entity received; and (c) the date(s) on which each Person or entity received such money.

ANSWER: Responding Party is unable to do so. To the extent this interrogatory seeks information about subsequent transferees, the interrogatory is improper, seeks information which is irrelevant, and it is unethical for the Trustee to be seeking this information necessary to frame a complaint against subsequent transferees. The Account holder, Bruno L. DiGiulian, was the subsequent transferee, from FISERV, of each transfer except for withdrawals needed to pay applicable taxes on the reported short term capital gains in the Account.

6. Identify all Persons who benefited from any Transfer, including, but not limited to, Persons who received or enjoyed the benefit of anything paid for with any funds that were part of any Transfer, and describe how these Persons benefited.

ANSWER: Responding Party is unable to do so. To the extent this interrogatory seeks information about subsequent transferees, the interrogatory is improper and it is unethical for the Trustee to be seeking this information necessary to frame a complaint against subsequent transferees.

7. To the extent not already provided in Your responses to the preceding Interrogatories, state how You used any money You received from the Transfers.

ANSWER: Bruno DiGiulian paid taxes on the reported short term capital gains in the Account. The balance of the funds were used for Bruno DiGiulian's support.

8. Identify any and all banks and/or financial institutions, including, but not limited to, Bank Atlantic and Paine Webber, where You hold or have held accounts during the Applicable Period, and for each account, give the account number, the date the account was

opened, and the date the account was closed.

ANSWER: Responding Party does not dispute the deposits and withdrawals reflected on Exhibit B to the complaint on. Therefore, the Trustee has no right to any bank information and beyond that seeks such information for the improper purpose of taking discovery necessary to frame a complaint against subsequent transferees.

9. Identify any instance when You communicated to BLMIS and/or NTC & Co., LLP any disagreement with respect to the accuracy of the deposits reflected on the customer statements.

ANSWER: Responding Party has no personal knowledge of such instances because this was not her account. However, she believes such instances occurred.

10. Identify any instance when You communicated to BLMIS and/ or NTC & Co., LLP any disagreement with respect to the accuracy of the withdrawals reflected on the customer statements.

ANSWER: See answer to # 9.

11. Regarding the sixteenth affirmative defense in Your Answer to the Complaint, describe the factual basis for Your claim that the "Defendant is entitled to setoff, recoupment, and/or equitable adjustment because each year Defendant was required to pay taxes on the fictitious profits reported on, among other things, IRS Form 1099 and other information reported by BLMIS or Madoff to the Internal Revenue Service, state and/or local governmental taxing authorities," including, but not limited to, the amount paid in taxes on reported fictitious profits, by year, the taxing authority to which they were paid and the amount of the reported income from which the tax obligations arose.

ANSWER: The defense concerning payment of short term capital gains taxes is based upon Responding Party's testimony as to the payment of taxes at the applicable tax

rates for each year of the Account and the reported income as reflected in Madoff's statements which are in the Trustee's possession.

12. Regarding the twentieth affirmative defense in Your Answer to the Complaint, describe the factual basis for Your claim that the "Trustee has fraudulently calculated Defendant's liability by charging Defendant with withdrawals that the Trustee has no proof were taken," including, but not limited to, Identifying the withdrawals You contend are at issue by date and amount.

ANSWER: The Trustee has disallowed credit for the December 29, 1995 transfer into the Account of \$980,499 without producing a single document supporting that disallowance.

13. Regarding the twenty-seventh affirmative defense in Your Answer to the Complaint, describe the factual basis for Your claim that the "Trustee's claims are barred in whole or part for failure to properly credit inter-account transfers, profit withdrawals, and other adjustments," including, but not limited to, Identifying the inter-account transfers, profit withdrawals and other adjustments you contend were not properly credited, the amounts you contend should be credited and all Documents supporting your contention.

ANSWER: See answer to #12.

14. Regarding the thirty-seventh affirmative defense in Your Answer to the Complaint, describe the factual basis for Your claim that the "withdrawals that the Trustee seeks to recover were legally compelled under State and federal securities laws," including Identifying the withdrawals that You contend were legally compelled by date and amount, the law, statute, regulation or other legal provision under which each was compelled, and all Documents supporting your contention.

ANSWER: See answer to #11.

15. Regarding the forty-sixth affirmative defense in Your Answer to the Complaint, describe the factual basis for Your claim that "Trustee's claims are barred in whole or part for failure to properly credit Defendant with all of Defendant's deposits," including Identifying the deposits that You contend were not properly credited by date and amount, the amounts you contend should be credited, and all Documents supporting your contention.

ANSWER: See answer to #12. Responding Party reserves the right to supplement this response as discovery proceeds.

16. Identify any Person with knowledge of information relevant to this Adversary Proceeding who may be in possession of any Documents or other information relating to any Transfers, deposits, or Subsequent Transfers, including but not limited to Bank Atlantic and NTC & Co., LLP, and any other banks, financial advisors, accountants, auditors, securities brokers, and/or other financial professionals. For every Person You Identify, please provide their name, address, telephone number, the circumstances under which each Person obtained such knowledge and the substance of that Person's knowledge.

ANSWER: Annette Bongiorno; Bernard L. Madoff and possibly other Madoff employees. To the extent this interrogatory seeks information about subsequent transferees, the interrogatory is improper and it is unethical for the Trustee to be seeking this information necessary to frame a complaint against subsequent transferees.

17. To the extent not already provided in Your responses to other written discovery, Identify by category, general description, custodian and location, all relevant documents, electronically stored information, and other physical evidence in Your possession, custody or control.

ANSWER: Responding Party has no such documents.

18. Identify whether You requested a refund, including but not limited to any theft loss

refund, of any state or federal taxes paid in connection with the Account and whether any refund was received. In so doing, provide the date(s) when You applied for the refund(s), received refund(s) and the amount(s) of such refund(s).

ANSWER: Responding Party did not request a tax refund.

19. Identify steps You have taken to preserve Documents Concerning the Account pursuant to the preservation letters sent to Your counsel on February 21, 2011, August 15, 2014, and February 23, 2016.

ANSWER: Responding Party has not disposed of any documents since February 21, 2011.

August 19, 2016

CHAITMAN LLP

By: /s/ Helen Davis Chaitman

465 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10022

Phone & Fax: 888-759-1114

hchaitman@chaitmanllp.com

*Attorneys for Defendant Patsy DiGiulian, as
Executrix of the Estate of Bruno L. DiGiulian*

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION
CORPORATION,

Plaintiff-Applicant,

v.

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT
SECURITIES LLC,

Defendant.

No. 08-1789 (SMB)
SIPA LIQUIDATION
(Substantively
Consolidated)

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Substantively Consolidated
SIPA Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC
and Bernard L. Madoff,

Plaintiff,

v.

BRUNO L. DIGIULIAN,

Defendant.

Adv. Pro. No. 10-04728
(SMB)

VERIFICATION

I, PATSY R. DIGIULIAN, as Executrix of the Estate of Bruno L. DiGiulian, declare pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, that I have read the foregoing amended interrogatory answers and that the responses contained therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. I understand that if any of these responses is found to be willfully false, I am subject to punishment.

8-19-2016, 2016


Patsy R. DiGiulian

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing was served on this 23rd day of August, 2016 by electronic mail and USPS first class mail upon the following:

BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP

45 Rockefeller Plaza

New York, New York 10111

Telephone: 212.589.4200

Facsimile: 212.589.4201

David J. Sheehan

Email: dsheehan@bakerlaw.com

Nicholas J. Cremona

Email: ncremona@bakerlaw.com

*Attorneys for Irving H. Picard, Trustee for the
Substantively Consolidated SIPA Liquidation
of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities
LLC and the Estate of Bernard L. Madoff*

Of Counsel:

BAKER & HOSTETLER LLP

811 Main, Suite 1100

Houston, Texas 77002-6111

Telephone: (713) 751-1600

Facsimile: (713) 751-1717

Dean D. Hunt, Esq.

Email: dhunt@bakerlaw.com

CHAITMAN LLP

By: /s/ Helen Davis Chaitman

465 Park Avenue

New York, New York 10022

Phone & Fax: 888-759-1114

hchaitman@chaitmanllp.com

*Attorneys for Defendant Patsy DiGiulian, as
Executrix of the Estate of Bruno L. DiGiulian*